North Somerset

Personal Details:

Name: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]
Postcode: [redacted]
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

Comment text:

Related subject: Wraxall & Failand

I am writing (as a resident of Wraxall for the last 28 years) to register my strong objection to the latest attempt by Nailsea Town council to absorb the Wraxall & Failand areas into its boundaries. This idea has already been rejected by an enormous majority of the residents at the previous consultation , a very clear statement that we wish to stay as we are and remain within the Long Ashton Ward.
where we feel we have the best representation for our rural community as opposed to sprawling urban (& growing) ward that the Nailsea Ward now is.
This point was a major factor in our decision to move into the Elms in the first place , having grown up in the Nailsea in the 70's I have seen for for myself the urban sprawl that has developed and is now continuing into the West End of Nailsea with new housing developments being built with no improvements to roads or services & an increasing number of unsold homes now being bought up by housing associations , a policy which no doubt would continue around the green belt and farming land surrounding the Elms changing our environment beyond recognition of the reasons which brought us here in the first place.
We are NOT an urban area and therefore we have no wish to support any notion that we should have to reflect that as a characteristic of where we live.
As residents of the Long Ashton Ward we also have mire effective representation per councillor which maintains our electoral equality and from a ward which is representative of our rural environment, something we would not receive from the Nailsea ward for reasons already stated .
Finally, I do not think we should have to be put in this position where we, the residents of Elms , have to argue , again, that we wish to remain within the Wraxall & Failand parish and when Nailsea Town Council can ignore that feedback . I think that this clearly shows their intentions for the future of Elms (land grab, loss of green belt , new housing estates more affordable homes, unknown residents , higher taxes etc) and must

not be allowed to progress any further ..

Attached Documents:

None attached